

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

				1 1/2
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/981,178	10/16/2001	Gene Stellon	2334 DIV	5414
7:	590 07/02/2003			
United States Surgical, a division of			EXAMINER	
TYCO HEALTHCARE GROUP LP 150 Glover Avenue Norwalk, CT 06856			DESANTO, MATTHEW F	
		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3763	9
			DATE MAILED: 07/02/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_	خنه					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/981,178	STELLON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Matthew F DeSanto	3763				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with ti	he correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be the ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) and will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS ute, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	1 April 2003 .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-21</u> is/are pending in the applicati	on.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-21</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers	l/or election requirement.	•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	<u></u>	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ disap	pproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in	reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		cation No.				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr						
application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	stic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language p 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	• •					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inform	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 17 is objected to because of the following informalities: grammar mistake.
 Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-19, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Danks et al. (USPN 5868773).

Danks et al. discloses a cannula, an obturator assembly, a housing, a penetrating tip, an elongated shield, a guard, a latch mechanism, a blocking surface, a mating surface, and a flat knife blade. (Figures 1-5D and entire reference)

4. Claims 14-19 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schwemberger et al. (5904699).

Schwemberger et al. discloses a cannula (40), an obturator assembly, a housing (51), a penetrating tip (61), an elongated shield, a guard (56), a latch mechanism including a release member (52), and a latch operatively associated with the release member. (Figures 1-15 and entire reference)

Double Patenting

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the

Application/Control Number: 09/981,178

Art Unit: 3763

unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

6. Claims 1-10, and 14-21 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim1-9 of U.S. Patent No. 6,319,266. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the above mentioned patent are substantially similar to the claims in the instant application because they both claim the same structural limitations such as a cannula, an obturator assembly, a housing, a penetrating tip, an elongated shield, a guard, a latch mechanism, a blocking surface, a mating surface, and a flat knife blade.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 4/2103 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant argues language not in the claims. The language that is not in the claims is wherein the button is mounted on the obturator housing. The examiner is interpreting the claim to read wherein the button protrudes through the housing, there is no mention of the button being directly mounted to the obturator housing, therefore the

Application/Control Number: 09/981,178

Art Unit: 3763

examiner is unclear as to the argument the applicant is making. The obturator housing is reference number 40, therefore the button does protrude through the housing.

With regards to claims 11-13, the applicant argues the direction of the movement of the latch mechanism, but since no orientation or direction is specified in the claims, the examiner determines that the release member does move in a proximally direction depending on the orientation at which the trocar is held.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew F DeSanto whose telephone number is 1-703-305-3292. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on 1-703-308-3552. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 1-703-872-9302 for regular communications and 1-703-872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 1-703-308-0858.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Matthew DeSanto Art Unit 3763 June 25, 2003

Kural